He A IFW

DE			PTO/SB/21 (05-04)
(Alana Alana	Application Number	10/688,329	
FORM	Filing Date	October 17, 2003	
	First Named Inventor	Kodama, Shoji	
PARABEMINADO	Art Unit	2623	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	Amelia Megan Au	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number	16869B-081000US	

Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Num	per 16	6869B-081000US	
	ENCLOSURES (Che	ek all that ann	ha	
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Paper Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Rev Change of Correspond Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Tab	e on CD	After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Appendix A, 5 references, Table of Contents Return Postcard	
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				
SIGNA	TURE OF APPLICANT, A	TTORNEY,	OR AGENT	
Firm Name Townsend and Town	send and Crew LLP			
Signature & MA	B74_			
Printed name George B. F. Yee				
Date October 14, 2005		Reg. No.	37,478	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.				
Signature	nex (
Typed or printed name Cynthia McK	 		Date October 14, 2005	

OCT 1 7 2005

FEE TRANSMITTAL

For FY 2005

Applicant claims small entity state	us. See 37 CFR 1.27
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT	(\$) 130

Complete if Known			
Application Number	10/688,329		
Filing Date	October 17, 2003		
First Named Inventor	Kodama, Shoji		
Examiner Name	Unassigned		
Art Unit	2623		
Attorney Docket No.	16869B-081000US		

Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify):	_
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 20-1430 Deposit Account Name: Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLF For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filling fee under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038 FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES FILING FEES Small Entity Application Type Fee (\$) F	
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card Information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038 FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES FILING FEES Small Entity Application Type Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Pee (\$) Fee (\$) Pee (\$) Fee (\$) Pee (\$) Fee (\$) Pee (\$) Fee (\$) Pees Paid (\$) Plant 200 100 300 150 500 250 600 300	_
Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038 FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES FILING FEES Small Entity Application Type Fee (\$) Fee	
Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card Information should not be Included on this form. Provide credit card Information and authorization on PTO-2038 FEE CALCULATION 1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES FILING FEES Small Entity Application Type Fee (\$)	
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card Information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card Information and authorization on PTO-2038 FEE CALCULATION	}
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card Information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card Information and authorization on PTO-2038 FEE CALCULATION	
The factor The	
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES FILING FEES Small Entity SEARCH FEES Small Entity EXAMINATION FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fee (\$) Fees Paid (\$) Utility 300 150 500 250 200 100 200 100 130 65 65 65 65 600 100 100 100 100 100 100 250 250 250 600 300 65 600 600 300 600 600 300 600	
FILING FEES Small Entity Fee (\$) Fee (
Application Type Fee (\$) Pee (\$)	
Utility 300 150 500 250 200 100 Design 200 100 100 50 130 65 Plant 200 100 300 150 160 80 Reissue 300 150 500 250 600 300	
Design 200 100 100 50 130 65 Plant 200 100 300 150 160 80 Reissue 300 150 500 250 600 300	
Plant 200 100 300 150 160 80 Reissue 300 150 500 250 600 300	
Reissue 300 150 500 250 600 300	
Provisional 200 100 0 0 0	
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES Small E	ntity
Fee Description Fee (\$) Fee	
Each claim over 20 or, for Reissues, each claim over 20 and more than in the original patent 50 Each independent claim over 3 or, for Reissues, each independent claim more than in the original patent 200 100	
Each independent claim over 3 or, for Reissues, each independent claim more than in the original patent 200 Multiple dependent claims 360	
Total Claims Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$) Multiple Dependent Claims	
-20 or HP = x = <u>Fee (\$)</u> Fee Paid (\$)	
HP = highest number of total daims paid for, if greater than 20	
Indep. Claims	
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3	
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE	
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper, the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small ent	ity)
for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).	
Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$ - 100 = / 50 = (round up to a whole number) x =	Ĺ
100 = / 50 (lound up to a whole fidiliber) X =	-
4. OTHER FEE(S) Fees Paid (<u>5)</u>
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)	_
Other: Petition Fee 130	
	_

SUBMITTED BY				
Signature	Ame	871	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 37,478	Telephone 650-326-2400
Name (Print/Type)	George B. F. Yee	1 10		Date October 14, 2005

IPE

PATENT

Docket No.: 16869B-081000US Client Ref. No.: HAL 276 (340300836US1)



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Shoji Kodama

Application No.: 10/688,329

Filed: October 17, 2003

For: Method and Apparatus for File Replication with a Common Format

Customer No.: 20350

Confirmation No. 2311

Examiner:

Amelia Megan Au

Technology Center/Art Unit: 2623

PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) & M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item VIII, ACCELERATED EXAMINATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a petition to make special the above-identified application in accordance with MPEP § 708.02, Item VIII, accelerated examination. The application has not received any examination by the Examiner.

- (A) The Commissioner is authorized to charge the petition fee of \$130 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h), and any additional fees that may be associated with this petition may be charged to Deposit Account No. 20-1430.
- (B) All the claims are believed to be directed to a single invention. If the examiner determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, then Applicant will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status where the specific grouping of claims will be determined by the examiner.

10/18/2005 HDESTA1 00000129 201430 10688329 01 FC:1464 130.00 DA (C) A pre-examination search was performed by an independent patent search firm. The pre-examination search includes a classification search, a computer database search, and a keyword search. The classification search covered the following classes and sub-classes:

Class / Subclasses 711/ 100, 114, 161, 162 714/6

Additionally, a computer database search was conducted on the USPTO systems EAST and WEST. The following references were identified in the search report:

U.S. Patent Nos.:

5,592,618	Micka et al.
6,389,459	McDowell
6,636,908	Winokur et al.
6,718,447	Cochran
6,728,849	Kodama

- (D) The above references are enclosed herewith, collectively as Exhibit A.
- (E) Set forth below is a detailed discussion of the references, pointing out with particularity how the claimed subject matter recited in the claims, amended according to the preliminary amendment filed herewith, is distinguishable over the references.

Claimed Subject Matter of the Present Invention

There are seven independent claims among the thirty-nine pending claims.

The independent claims are directed to file servers.

Claim 1 recites a combination of performing file operations in response to a file request on a copy of a file contained in a first file system and selectively performing file operations on a different second file system in response to the file request. Client systems can access the first file system only via a file server, while client systems can access the second file system directly. Independent claim 23 recites similar elements in a file server. Independent claim 33 recites similar elements as claim 1, in an application server. Independent claim 37 recites similar elements as claim 1, in means plus function language.

Independent claim 10 recites a combination of steps including performing a first operation on a first file in a first file system and storing information representative of the first

operation on a queue. For each entry in the queue, a second operation is performed on a second file in a second file system different from the first file system.

Independent claim 17 recites a combination of communicating first file operations to a first file system in connection with a file request, and if the file request is a write-type of request then second file operations are communicated to a second file system. The second file operations are performed after the file request on the first file system has completed.

Independent claim 20 recites performing a file request on a file in a first file system, and if the file request is a close file operation then a copy of the file is produced and stored on a second file system.

U.S. Patent No. 5,592,618 Micka et al.

The patent to Micka et al. (5,592,618), assigned to International Business

Machines Corporation, provides for a *Remote Copy Secondary Data Copy Validation-Audit*Function. Primary site 421 has primary processor 401 executing application programs 402 and 403 that store data on primary DASDs 406 through primary storage controller 405. Secondary site 431 includes secondary processor 411, secondary storage controller 415 and secondary DASDs 416 which serve to mirror the data on the primary DASDs. Information regarding all data writes to the primary DASDs over a time interval are collected into a group and transmitted to the secondary site where the information is used to synchronize the remote copy of the data. The primary and secondary data storage devices may use different data formats (see column 7, line 55 through column 8, line 51 and column 16, lines 37-38).

Micka et al. disclose that all data writes are transmitted to the secondary site, albeit after a delayed time interval. The independent claims recite that file operations that are performed on a first file system are selectively performed on a second file system; e.g., claims 1, 10, 23, 33, and 37. Claim 17 recites that if the file request is a write request, then the request is communicated to the second file system, by virtue of the delay described by Micka et al. Claim 20 recites that if the file request is a close operation then a copy is made in the second file system. Micka et al. do not show or suggest the foregoing discussed elements as recited in the independent claims.

U.S. Patent No. 6,389,459 McDowell

The patent to McDowell (6,389,459), assigned to NCR Corporation, provides for Virtualized Storage Devices for Network Disk Mirroring Applications. Primary server 201 provides client computers with read and write access to mirrored volumes 215, while secondary server 203 hosts the copies of mirrored volumes 215 as mirrored volumes 235. File system mirror driver 307 receives requests from the client computers to access the volumes 215. If the request is a write request to a mirrored volume, the request is sent to the secondary mirrored volume to be executed on volumes 235. After secondary server 203 returns a success message, the primary storage executes the write on its mirrored volumes 215. Write request for non-mirrored volumes and read requests are handled as normal operations with no involvement of the secondary server required (see column 4, line 65 through column 5, line 22).

McDowell discloses "mirrored volumes," which by definition means that the file system on the primary server and on the secondary server are identical file systems. McDowell therefore does not show or suggest a first file system different from a second file system as recited in claims 1, 10, 23, 33, and 37. McDowell does not show or suggest storing file operations in entries of a queue and then performing operations on the second file system for each entry in the queue, as recited in claim 10. McDowell does not show or suggest that the second file operations on the second file system are performed after the file request has on the first file system has completed, as recited in claim 17. McDowell does not show or suggest that if the operation on the first file system is a close operation then copying the file to the second file system, as recited in claim 20.

U.S. Patent No. 6,636,908 Winokur et al.

The patent to Winokur et al. (6,636,908), assigned to SANgate Systems, INC., provides for an *I/O System Supporting Extended Functions and Methods Thereof*. I/O stream splitter 200 intercepts an I/O stream from mainframe 110 directed towards storage on control unit 320. The I/O stream splitter transmits the intercepted I/O stream on to the target control unit, and in parallel, transmits an altered version of the I/O stream to control unit 330 that

manages a mirrored version of the data of control unit 320. Alterations to the I/O stream include changes to the control information, or changes to the data itself, including changes to the data format (see column 5, line 52 through column 6, line 24).

Winokur et al. disclose that I/O stream splitter transmits the intercepted I/O stream to control unit that manages mirrored data. The independent claims recite that file operations that are performed on a first file system are selectively performed on a second file system; e.g., claims 1, 10, 23, 33, and 37. Claim 17 recites that if the file request is a write request, then the request is communicated to the second file system. Claim 20 recites that if the file request is a close operation then a copy is made in the second file system. Winokur et al. do not show or suggest the foregoing discussed elements as recited in the independent claims.

U.S. Patent No. 6,718,447 Cochran

The patent to Cochran (6,718,447), assigned to Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P., provides a *Method and System for Providing Logically Consistent Logical Unit Backup Snapshots Within One or More Data Storage Devices*. Applications running on host computer **402** generate I/O requests for data stored on primary LUN **420** of disk array **418**, which is mirrored by backup LUN **428** of secondary disk array **424**. When a request is received, it is determined whether the request is a write, read, or other operation. Write requests for the primary LUN are stored in input queue **416**, executed on the primary LUN, and then mirrored to output queue **422** for transmission to input queue **426** of the secondary disk array for writing to backup LUN **428** (see column 5, line 56 through column 6, line 21 and column 13, line 61 through column 62, line 13).

Cochran discloses queuing up write requests which are then mirrored to a backup LUN. Cochran does not show or suggest a first file system different from a second file system as recited in claims 1, 10, 23, 33, and 37. Because of the presence of the queuing mechanism, Cochran does not show or even suggest that the second file operations on the second file system are performed after the file request has on the first file system has completed, as recited in claim 17. Cochran does not show or suggest that if the operation on the first file system is a close operation then copying the file to the second file system, as recited in claim 20.

U.S. Patent No. 6,728,849 Kodama

The patent to Kodama (6,728,849), assigned to Hitachi, Ltd., provides for a Remote Storage System and Method. Local storage facility includes control unit 22 for managing I/O read and write requests from servers 24 directed towards volumes 20. Remote storage facility 12' provides data mirroring of at least a portion of the data at local storage facility 12. When request handling process 50 of control unit 22 receives an I/O request, it first determines the type of request to see if it is a write request. If the request is a write request, write procedure 60 is executed and then followed by a remote copy request to write the data to the remote storage if the local storage destination of the write request is mirrored at remote storage facility 12'. Remote copy requests destined for the remote storage facility are stored in queue 44 (see column 3, line 61 through column 6, line 2).

Kodama describes data mirroring, and so does not show or suggest a first file system different from a second file system as recited in claims 1, 10, 23, 33, and 37. Kodama describes queuing remote copy requests. Kodama, therefore does not show or suggest that the second file operations on the second file system are performed after the file request has on the first file system has completed, as recited in claim 17. Kodama does not show or suggest that if the operation on the first file system is a close operation then copying the file to the second file system, as recited in claim 20.

PATENT Appl. No. 10/688,329

Petition to Make Special sent October 14, 2005

Conclusion

In view of this comments presented in the instant petition and the claim amendments presented in the accompanying preliminary amendment, the Examiner is respectfully requested to issue a first Office Action at an early date.

Respectfully submitted,

George B. F. Yee Reg. No. 37,478

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 650-326-2400 Fax: 415-576-0300

Attachments GBFY:cmm 60610207 v1

Appendix A

TABLE OF CONENTS

U.S. Patent No. 5,592,618 to Micka et al	1
U.S. Patent No. 6,389,459 B1 to McDowell	
U.S. Patent No. 6,636,908 B1 to Winokur et al.	
U.S. Patent No. 6,718,447 B2 to Cochran	
U.S. Patent No. 6,728,849 B2 to Kodama	J

60610501 v1